

CLAIMS

We claim:

1. A method for generating a protozyme, said method comprising:
 - a) identifying a suitable protein scaffold lacking a desirable enzyme-like activity;
 - b) inputting a protein backbone structure of said protein scaffold into a computer, wherein said backbone structure has variable residue positions ;
 - c) inserting an active site domain into said scaffold;
 - d) applying at least one protein design cycle; and
 - e) generating a set of candidate variant proteins with putative enzyme-like activity.
2. A method according to claim 1 wherein said insertion step is done at the same time as said protein design cycle.
3. A method according to claim 1 wherein said insertion step is done prior to said applying step.
4. A method according to claim 1 wherein said insertion step is done after said applying step.
5. A method according to claim 1 wherein said insertion step comprises the use of at least one high energy state rotamer.
6. A method according to claim 1 further comprising applying a second protein design cycle prior to said generating step.
7. A method according to claim 1 wherein said active site domain catalyzes a known enzymatic reaction.
8. A method according to claim 1 wherein said active site domain catalyzes an unknown enzymatic reaction.
9. A method according to claim 1 wherein said active site domain is a ligand binding domain.
10. A method according to claim 1 wherein said protein design cycle comprises a DEE computation
11. A method according to claim 1 wherein said protein design cycle includes the use of at least one scoring function.

12. A method according to claim 8 wherein said scoring function is selected from the group consisting of a van der Waals potential scoring function, a hydrogen bond potential scoring function, an atomic solvation scoring function, an electrostatic scoring function and a secondary structure propensity scoring function.

5

13. A method according to claim 1 further comprising synthesizing a plurality of secondary sequences to generate a library of putative protoenzymes.

14. A method according to claim 13 wherein said synthesis includes a shuffling step.

10

15. A method according to claim 1 further comprising testing said candidate variant proteins for said catalytic property.

16. A method according to claim 1 wherein said protein design cycle comprises protein design automation.

17. A method according to claim 1 wherein said protein design cycle comprises a force field calculation.

15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100